INSTRUCTORS’ INFORMATION:

Jayne Docherty, Ph.D.
Martin Store  540-432-4496
jayne.docherty@emu.edu
Office Hours: Wednesdays 3:30 - 5 p.m. and by appointment

Talibah Aquil, M.A.
Talibah.Aquil@emu.edu
Meetings by appointment

Shannon Sneary Alabanza, B.S.W.
540-434-0059 extension 2  training@fairfieldcenter.org
Meetings by appointment

COURSE DESCRIPTION:

Negotiation is the fundamental process by which human beings discern how to resolve differences and move forward together—whether in a family, a local community, an organization, a society, or a world community. Mediation adds a third party to the negotiation process, and has proven remarkably effective in resolving and even transforming certain disputes. This course will train participants to be effective negotiators and to serve as impartial mediators, but will also explore the varying contexts in which these processes take place and the variety of perspectives and worldviews that parties bring to a negotiation or mediation process. For CJP MA in Conflict Transformation students this course satisfies the skills assessment course requirement. Each student will be evaluated by the instructor and by class peers for competency in mediation & negotiation skills.

COURSE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES:

1) **Understand the core processes, skills and theories** that underlie both negotiation and mediation, and the contributions and limitations of each process.

2) **Assess the context** in which a conflict transformation process is taking place, the level of conflict, and the nature of each party's worldview. This would include an understanding of the
embedded nature of the conflict in a particular culture or intersection of cultures, and the importance of **decolonizing** our methodologies.

3) Participate skillfully as a **party** to a negotiation process, as a **third-party mediator**, and/or as a **coach** to a negotiation or mediation process (particularly in community, commercial, family and organizational contexts). Students will learn skills for mediating that work in person and while using online platforms.

4) Understand the nature of **multi-party negotiation and mediation**, and the role of mediation in complex political mediation processes.

**REQUIRED TEXTS AND OTHER RESOURCES:**

**Required readings will be posted on course Moodle site for each week.**

For personal and professional development, students may choose to purchase the following books used in this course:


For further exploration, students may consider purchasing the following books:


REQUIRED ASSIGNMENTS:

Negotiation Module Assignments: —25% of Grade

- Preparation Tasks (deadlines are firm on these assignments) 10 points total
  - VoiceThread responses (Week 1 -- two responses required) 5 points
  - Character and group preparation for Katrina simulation (Week 3) 5 points
- Paired Negotiation Video and Self-Reflection -- 5 points total
  - Turn in negotiation story (Week 1)
  - Work with a partner to negotiate and record one of the cases using Zoom (Week 2)
  - Turn in the recording to Jayne (Week 2)
  - Review the recording and complete the self-assessment form/reflection (Week 2)
- Katrina Multiparty Negotiation Activity (participation on September 25 is mandatory) 10 points total
  - Participation in simulation -- 5 points
  - Reflection (written or video) and conversation with Jayne Due no later than Week 5 -- 5 points

Role Play re a conflict that requires third party intervention (minimum of 3 pages single spaced)—25% of Grade
Draft a role play based on a two-party or multi-party conflict that requires the intervention of a third party. Clarify the context in which the conflict is taking place, and draft instructions for each party to the conflict as well as a briefing paper for the mediator(s).

Simulation to the class of a conflict transformation intervention and Self-Assessment—25% of Grade
Prepare a simulation for the class of a conflict transformation case based on a role play drafted by you or a colleague. We will form working groups in the second half of the semester to prepare the presentations/simulations. Your “final paper” will consist of your reflection on the mediation simulation and your development as a third-party intervenor, including areas for ongoing growth.

Teaching and facilitating discussion of an assigned chapter reading – 10% of grade
In pairs, you will choose a chapter to review and facilitate an in-class discussion from the reading, Re-Centering: Culture and Knowledge in Conflict Resolution Practice.

Participation in class discussion and role plays —15% of Grade

*These are brief descriptions of required graded assignments for the course. More details for each assignment can be found on the “Guidance Notes” that will be provided in class. For fall 2020 this course is only offered for 3 graduate credits.*
SCHEDULE AND TOPICS:
A general outline of the course schedule and topics follows. Specific reading assignments will be on the course Moodle site. This is a tentative weekly schedule and will be updated and shared with those registered for the course.

Pre-class Introductions and Preparation -- Due September 1

1. Watch the introductory video
2. Post your self-introduction video
3. Complete the pre-class activities before each class session

Week 1: Begins August 29 with Live Session on September 4—Who Negotiates? (Everyone Always)

Week 2: Begins September 5 with Live Session on September 11—Negotiating at the Table

Week 3: Begins September 12 with Live Session on September 18—Getting to the Table/Setting the Table

Week 4: Begins September 19 with Live Session September 25—Multi-party Negotiation

Week 5: October 2—Intro to mediation, The person of the mediator; Identity, power, gender, class, culture, religion

Week 6: October 9—Mediation Skills, conflict styles

Week 7: October 16—Begin chapter discussions, Stage 1, 2 & 3 of mediation process

Week 8: October 23

Week 9: October 30—Stage 4 & 5 of mediation process, role plays

Week 10: November 6—Challenges in mediation, role plays

Week 11: November 13—Multi-party mediation, Online Mediation, role plays

Week 12: November 20 — Extended role plays, Religion in the neighborhood

**Week 13: November 27—Thanksgiving Recess**

Week 14: December 4—Decolonizing Conflict Transformation, role plays

Week 15: December 11—Conflict transformation simulations

Week 16: December 18—Conflict Transformation simulation
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Turnitin:
Students are accountable for the integrity of the work they submit. Thus, you should be familiar with EMU’s Academic Integrity Policy (see above) in order to meet the academic expectations concerning appropriate documentation of sources. In addition, EMU is using Turnitin, a learning tool and plagiarism prevention system. For CJP classes, you may be asked to submit your papers to Turnitin from Moodle.

Moodle:
Moodle is the online learning platform that EMU has chosen to provide to faculty, administrators and students. Students will have access to course information within Moodle for any class they are registered for in a given term. The amount of time a student has access to information before and after the class is somewhat dependent on the access given to students by the individual faculty member. However, please note that courses are not in Moodle permanently – after two years the class will no longer be accessible. Please be sure to download resources from Moodle that you wish to have ongoing access to.

Technology Requirements and Communication (if joining a class by zoom):
Communication will largely be accomplished via the Moodle platform utilized by EMU and your EMU email. Check both frequently during the semester. In addition, during class synchronous sessions, it will be expected that you will use a noise-reducing headset to minimize background noise and disruption. Remember to keep your headsets UNMUTED during the sessions and avoid moving, brushing, touching or fumbling with them as it creates unwanted noise in the class space.

Regarding feedback on papers/projects: Students can expect to receive papers/assignments back in a class with faculty feedback before the next paper/assignment is due. This commitment from faculty assumes that the student has turned the paper in on the agreed upon due date.

Library
The Hartzler Library offers research support (via e-mail, chat, phone, or SSC campus) and the library home page offers subject guides to help start your research.

Office of Academic Access:
If you have a physical, psychological, medical or learning disability that may impact your work in this course, it is your responsibility to contact the Office of Academic Access in the Academic Success Center on the third floor of the Hartzler Library. They will work with you to establish eligibility and to coordinate reasonable accommodations. All information and documentation is treated confidentially.

Class Attendance:
Students are expected to attend all class meetings. If unusual or emergency circumstances prevent class attendance, the student should notify the professor in advance if possible. Multiple absences from class will result in lower grades. The student is responsible for the material presented in classes missed (from EMU Graduate Catalog). Students should be aware of the importance of regular class attendance, particularly in the case of CJP classes that only meet once a week or over several weekends. Being absent for more than one class leads to a student missing a large portion of the class content. In addition to consistent class attendance, students should make every effort to arrive to class on time out of respect for the learning process, fellow students and faculty.
Course Extensions and Outstanding Grades:
For fall and spring semesters, all coursework is due by the end of the semester. If a student will not be able to complete a course on time, the student must submit a request one week before the end of the semester for an extension (up to 6 months), by emailing the instructor, academic advisor and the Academic Program Coordinator. If the request is granted the student will receive an "I (incomplete) for the course which will later be replaced by a final grade when the work has been turned in on the agreed upon date. If the request for an extension is denied, the student will receive a grade for the work that has been completed up until the time the course was expected to have been completed. If no work has been submitted, the final grade will be an F (or W under unusual circumstances and with permission of the Program Director). Extensions will be given only for legitimate and unusual situations. Extensions are contracted by the student with the program for up to a maximum of 6 months after the deadline for the course work. PLEASE NOTE: Grades for coursework submitted late may be reduced at the instructor's discretion and in line with their course policy on turning in coursework after the due date. If the extension deadline is not met, the instructor will submit the final grade based on what has been received to date.

Inclusive, Community-Creating Language Policy:
Eastern Mennonite University expects all its faculty, staff, and students to adopt inclusive written and spoken language that welcomes everyone regardless of race or ethnicity, gender, disabilities, age, and sexual orientation. We will use respectful and welcoming language in all our official departmental documents and correspondence, including those put forth by way of Internet communication, and throughout all academic coursework, inclusive of classroom presentations and conversations, course syllabi, and both written and oral student assessment materials (see CJP Student Resources moodle page or request a complete copy along with best practices from the Academic Program Coordinator).

Title IX:
The following policy applies to any incidents that occur (on or off campus) while you are a student registered at EMU. It does not apply if you are talking about incidents that happened prior your enrollment at EMU. It is important for you to know that all faculty and staff members are required to report known or alleged incidents of sexual violence (including sexual assault, domestic/relationship violence, stalking). That means that faculty and staff members cannot keep information about sexual violence confidential if you share that information with them. For example, if you inform a faculty or staff member of an issue of sexual harassment, sexual assault, or discrimination he/she will keep the information as private as he/she can, but is required to bring it to the attention of the institution's Title IX Coordinator. You can also report incidents or complaints through the online portal. You may report, confidentially, incidents of sexual violence if you speak to Counseling Services counselors, Campus Ministries’ pastors, or Health Services personnel providing clinical care. These individuals, as well as the Title IX Coordinator, can provide you with information on both internal & external support resources. Please refer to the Student Handbook for additional policies, information, and resources available to you.

Academic Program Policies:
For EMU graduate program policies and more CJP-specific graduate program policies, please see the complete graduate catalog.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>A Excellent</th>
<th>B Adequate Expectations</th>
<th>C Below Expectations</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content (quality of the information, ideas and supporting details)</td>
<td>• shows clarity of purpose</td>
<td>• shows some clarity of purpose</td>
<td>• shows minimal clarity of purpose</td>
<td>(adapted from Council of Writing Program Administrators).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• offers depth of content</td>
<td>• offers some depth of content</td>
<td>• offers minimal depth of content or incorrect content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• applies insight and represents original thinking</td>
<td>• applies some insight and some original thinking</td>
<td>• applies minimal insight and original thinking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• follows guidelines for content</td>
<td>• mostly follows guidelines for content</td>
<td>• does not follow guidelines for content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure (logical order or sequence of the writing)</td>
<td>• shows coherence, and logically developed paragraphs</td>
<td>• shows some coherence and some logically developed paragraphs</td>
<td>• shows minimal coherence and logically developed paragraphs</td>
<td>(not common knowledge) material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• uses very effective transitions between ideas and sections</td>
<td>• uses some effective transitions between ideas &amp; sections</td>
<td>• uses minimal transitions between ideas and sections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• constructs appropriate introduction and conclusion</td>
<td>• shows some construction of appropriate introduction and conclusion</td>
<td>• shows minimal construction of appropriate introduction and conclusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhetoric and Style (appropriate attention to audience)</td>
<td>• is concise, eloquent and rhetorically effective</td>
<td>• is somewhat concise, eloquent, and rhetorically effective</td>
<td>• shows minimal conciseness, eloquence, and rhetorical effectiveness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• effectively uses correct, varied and concise sentence structure</td>
<td>• generally uses correct, varied, and concise sentence structure</td>
<td>• uses incorrect, monotonous or simplistic sentence structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• is engaging to read</td>
<td>• is somewhat engaging to read</td>
<td>• is not engaging to read</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• writes appropriately for audience and purpose</td>
<td>• generally writes appropriately for audience and purpose</td>
<td>• lacks appropriate writing for audience and purpose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Literacy (locating, evaluating, and using effectively the needed information as appropriate to assignment)</td>
<td>• uses academic and reliable sources</td>
<td>• uses mostly academic and reliable sources</td>
<td>• lacks academic and reliable sources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• chooses sources from many types of resources</td>
<td>• chooses sources from a moderate variety of types of resources</td>
<td>• chooses sources from a few types of resources</td>
<td>(revised Spring 2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• chooses timely resources for the topic</td>
<td>• chooses resources with mostly appropriate dates</td>
<td>• chooses a few resources with inappropriate dates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• integrates references and quotations to support ideas fully</td>
<td>• integrates references and quotations to provide some support for ideas</td>
<td>• integrates references or quotations that are loosely linked to the ideas of the paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source Integrity (appropriate acknowledgment of sources used in research)</td>
<td>• correctly cites sources for all quotations</td>
<td>• correctly cites sources for most quotations</td>
<td>• provides minimal sources for quotations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• cites paraphrases correctly and credibly</td>
<td>• usually cites paraphrases correctly and credibly</td>
<td>• sometimes cites paraphrases correctly and credibly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• includes reference page</td>
<td>• includes reference page with some errors</td>
<td>• includes reference page with many errors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• makes virtually no errors in documentation style</td>
<td>• makes some errors in documentation style</td>
<td>• makes many errors in documentation style</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• makes virtually no errors in formatting</td>
<td>• makes some errors in formatting</td>
<td>• makes many errors in formatting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• incorporates feedback given in previous written assignments</td>
<td>• incorporates some feedback given in previous written assignments</td>
<td>• lacks incorporation of feedback given in previous written assignments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventions (adherence to grammar rules: usage, spelling &amp; mechanics of Standard Edited English or SEE)</td>
<td>• makes virtually no errors in SEE conventions</td>
<td>• makes some errors SEE conventions</td>
<td>• makes many errors in SEE conventions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• makes accurate word choices</td>
<td>• almost always makes accurate word choices</td>
<td>• makes many inaccurate word choices</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| The weighting of each of the six areas is dependent on the specific written assignment and the teacher’s preference. Plagiarism occurs when one presents another's work as one's own. Open use of another's language, ideas, or other original (not common knowledge) material without acknowledging its source” (adapted from Council of Writing Program Administrators).
## Criteria for Evaluating Arts-Based Peacebuilding Projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERIA</th>
<th>A – Excellent</th>
<th>B – Minimal expectations</th>
<th>C – Below expectations</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goals &amp; Audience</strong>&lt;br&gt;Are the goals or learning objectives of the project clear? Have they been met? Is the intended audience clearly specified? Is the project appropriate for this audience? Does the project communicate to the intended audience?</td>
<td>- audience &amp; goals/learning objectives clearly identified.&lt;br&gt;- project appropriate for, and likely to meet, its goals - project is appropriate for specified audience - project understandable to &amp; likely to engage and/or communicate to audience</td>
<td>- audience and goals identified though not as clearly as they could be - project may meet its goals but this is not entirely clear - project is at least somewhat appropriate for, and likely to communicate to audience.</td>
<td>- audience and goals inappropriate or inadequately identified - project unlikely to meet its goals and/or communicate to the audience</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Methodology</strong>&lt;br&gt;Is the overall methodology clear and appropriately used? Has the project incorporated specific methods required by the assignment? If intended as a form of intervention, has thought been given to how it will be implemented?</td>
<td>- project incorporates inquiry methods required by the assignment - all methodologies &amp; technologies have been appropriately used, with attention to ethical and methodological issues - if intended as intervention or advocacy, project has given adequate thought to implementation - sources &amp; methods are adequately identified</td>
<td>- methodology basically appropriate to the project and appropriately used, but could be strengthened - sources and methods identified but not as fully as they could be - more thought should be given to implementation issues</td>
<td>- methodology inadequate and/or inadequately articulated. - sources not appropriately identified - inadequate attention to implementation issues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Analysis</strong>&lt;br&gt;Is there evidence of critical thinking and analysis?</td>
<td>- evidence of critical thinking about methods, sources, information and analysis or editing. - uses analysis/editing methods appropriate for the project - method of analysis or editing is adequately articulated</td>
<td>- some evidence of critical thinking but could be stronger - analytical approach and the analysis itself is basically appropriate but could be stronger and/or articulated better.</td>
<td>- inadequate evidence of critical thinking - analysis lacking or inadequate - analytic approach inappropriate or inadequately specified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Craft &amp; Coherence</strong>&lt;br&gt;Is the level of artistic and/or technical craft adequate for the specified goals and audience? Did it involve an appropriate amount of work? Does the final product have coherence and “resonance?”</td>
<td>- level of craft is clearly adequate for the audience &amp; to meet project goals (whether or not it meets “artistic” standards)</td>
<td>- level of craft is minimally adequate for the audience and goals - project coherence could be stronger</td>
<td>- level of craft inadequate for purposes and/or audience - project is not coherent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Background notes:**

- Arts approaches can be used in several different stages of a project:
  1. To gain or create knowledge. (For example, research “subjects” or participants might be engaged in an arts-based project as a way of soliciting information or encouraging insight.)
  2. To add complexity or nuance to created knowledge. (For example, an arts practice may serve as one method in a multi-method research project, creating an integrated, reflective methodology for the project. Alternatively, an arts practice could be used to analyze and/or interpret data collected by conventional methods.)
  3. To test knowledge. (For example, researchers might verify their interpretation of findings from a more traditional research process by creating a play or exhibit and testing it for resonance with their subjects.)
  4. To share findings. (For example, a play or exhibit might be created to (re)-present data collected or analyzed via conventional methods in order to impart the particular kinds of meaning the researcher considers important, and as a way to reach and engage a broader audience.)
  5. As a form of intervention. (For example, a project might be designed to raise awareness of an issue or conflict, to promote dialogue on a contested issue, or to advocate for a cause.)

- Arts-based products often do not specify methodologies used. Thus it may be important for a project to be accompanied by a short paper discussing analysis, theory of change, audience, goals, and methods used.

- Patricia Leavy, in “Method Meets Art: Arts-based Research Practice” (New York: Guilford Press) 2009, argues that “[t]raditional conceptions of validity and reliability, which developed out of positivism, are inappropriate for evaluating artistic inquiry.” (p. 15). She suggests that authenticity, trustworthiness, and validity can be assessed through attention to such elements as aesthetics, resonance, and vigor.

For a discussion of standards, see “Method Meets Art” (Leavy, 2009: 15ff and C